

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/549,818	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HESKE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3736	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA.

(2) Max Hindenburg.

**Date of Interview:** 19 May 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
n/a

Claims discussed:  
8-17

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Heske et al. (DE 202 04 363 & 2005/0203439) & Holcombe et al. (US 5,368,029)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Applicant and Examiner discussed proposed amendments to the claims to place the Application in condition for allowance. The proposed amendments were discussed with respect to the Pre-Interview Communication mailed 03/25/2010 as part of the First Action Interview Pilot Program.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** completed first action interview request

(3) Ronald Aust.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 10 a.m.

/Jeffrey G Hoekstra/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3736

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)